

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/923,242	08/03/2001	Arne Husth	042933/304258 9112	
826 75	90 02/10/2006		EXAMINER	
ALSTON & E	SIRD LLP	WANG, TED M		
BANK OF AM	ERICA PLAZA			
101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000			2634	

DATE MAILED: 02/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Apı	plicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/923,242	ни	STH, ARNE			
		Examiner	Art	Unit			
		Ted M. Wang	263				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFS SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS CO R 1.136(a). In no event, how riod will apply and will expire atute, cause the application t	DMMUNICATION. ever, may a reply be timely file SIX (6) MONTHS from the ma o become ABANDONED (35	ed ailing date of this communication. U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
2a) <u></u> □	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>0</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under	This action is non-fin wance except for fo	mal matters, prosect				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-5, 8-12, and 14</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>6,7 and 13</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consider					
Applicati	on Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>03/14/2005</u> is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	a)⊠ accepted or b)[the drawing(s) be held rection is required if th	in abeyance. See 37 (e drawing(s) is objected	CFR 1.85(a). I to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [Interview Summary (PTO-	-413)			
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ r No(s)/Mail Date	708) 5) 🔲	Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent / Other:	•			

Application/Control Number: 09/923,242 Page 2

Art Unit: 2634

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, filed 12/01/2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-14 under 35 USC § 102(e) and 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Lindquist et al. (US 5,579,347).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 8-12, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lindquist et al. (US 5,579,347).
 - With regard claim 1, Lindquist et al. discloses a digitally compensated direct conversion receiver comprising:
 determining the modulation extremes of a received modulated signal (column 8 lines 38-62, where the "r' is the amplitude of the input signal that is the modulation extremes of a received modulated signal);
 determining a DC offset for the signal from the modulation extremes (column 8 line 64 column 9 line 9, where p₂ is the second order product

Art Unit: 2634

(or DC offset as described in equation 3) that based on the modulated extreme "r".); and processing the signal to compensate for the offset (Fig.6 element 608 and column 9 lines 21-31).

- With regard claim 3, Lindquist et al. further discloses the step of processing the signal comprises subtracting the offset from the signal (column 6 lines 21-27).
- With regard claims 8 and 9, Lindquist et al. further discloses the signal comprises an in-phase and a quadrature (Q) component of a modulated signal (Fig.1b elements 40 and 50 OUTPUT, I and Q, and column 4 lines 41-50).
- With regard claim 10, Lindquist et al. further discloses the signal is GMSK modulated (column 5 lines 1-15).
- With regard claim 11, Lindquist et al. further discloses a computer program which, when run on a processor, carries out the step of claim 1 (Fig.1b element 130, column 4 lines 41-59, equations 4-8, and column 9 lines 6-21).
- In regard claim 12, which is a receiver mean plus function claim related to claim 1, all limitation is contained in claim 1. The explanation of all the limitation is already addressed in the above paragraph.
- With regard claim 14, Lindquist et al. further discloses a program to be executed by a digital signal processor (Fig.1b element 30, column 4 lines 41-59, equations 4-8, column 6 lines 21-55, and column 9 lines 6-21) in a direct conversion receiver (Fig.1b and column 4 lines 41-45), the receiver

Art Unit: 2634

comprising a mixer circuit (Fig.1b elements 40 and 50) for providing quadrature related signals from a received modulated signal (Fig.1b elements I and Q and column 4 lines 41-49), a DC cancellation circuit for canceling the DC component in the quadrature related signals and a digital signal processor for removing a residual DC component from the signals (Fig.1b element 30 and column 6 lines 21-55). All other limitation is contained in claim 11. The explanation of all the limitation is already addressed in the above paragraph.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lindquist et al. (US 5,579,347).
 - With regard claim 2, Lindquist et al. further discloses determining the DC offset (Fig.2a and 2b, column 5 lines16-26, column 8 line 64 column 9 line 9) with second order product of the input signal based on the signal amplitude by using a smoothing filter for a sliding average of four symbol periods (column 5 lines 36-61).

Art Unit: 2634

Lindquist et al. discloses the claimed invention except for determining the DC offset as substantially the mean of the signal amplitude at the modulation extremes. However, as shown in Figs.2a and 2b, the ideal signal is the signal without the second-order product, and the total received signal is the sum of the ideal signal and the second product (column 5 lines 22-25). It is therefore clear determining the second order product (column 2, lines 26-52) is equivalent to averaging the signal amplitude at the modulation extreme as recited.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lindquists' DC offset determination method with that of instant application's since determining the DC offset with second order product of the input signal based on the signal amplitude and determining the DC offset as substantially the mean of the signal amplitude at the modulation extreme are equivalent for their use in the single conversion receiver art, and the selection of any of these known equivalents to determine the DC offset of the input modulated signal would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

- 6. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lindquist et al. (US 5,579,347) in view of the admitted prior art of the instant application.
 - With regard claim 4, Lindquist et al. discloses all of the subject matter as
 described above except for specifically teaching the step of processing the

Art Unit: 2634

signal comprises subtracting a weighted exponential function from the signal.

However, the admitted prior art of the instant application teaches that the step of processing the signal comprises subtracting a weighted exponential function from the signal (page 1 lines 23-31). Where the admitted prior art of the instant application teaches a DC cancellation circuit (DCN) designed as high pass filters (page 1 line 29), in which capacitors can be rapidly charged/discharged during the DCN period by electronic switching circuits, to obtain a subtraction of the DC offset in each I or Q channel (page 1 lines 29-31). It is well known in the art that the high pass filter characteristics leads to the DC component being a declining exponential function, so that DCN is performed by subtracting a weighted declining exponential function from I/Q samples.

In general, for a high pass filter, each half-cycle the R-C circuit behaves like a simple direct current (d.c.) R-C circuit, because the input voltage is equal to a constant voltage, given by V_{in} . The voltage (V_{out}) for a charging capacitor across the capacitor can be obtained by the following equations:

$$q(t) = CVin(1 - e^{-t/RC})$$

$$Vout = \frac{q}{C}$$

$$Vout = Vin(1 - e^{-t/RC})$$

Art Unit: 2634

As shown in the above equation, it indicates that the high pass characteristics to the DC component being a declining exponential function, so that compensation is performed by subtracting a weighted declining exponential function from the I/Q samples.

It is desirable to have the DC cancellation circuit (DCN) discloses by the admitted prior art of the instant application in order to reasonably estimated and eliminated the DC offset in later process so that the received signal can be substantially free from distortion. Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include the method as taught by the admitted prior art of the instant application, in which, implementing subtracting a weighted exponential function from the signal, into Lindquists' DC cancellation process in order to reasonably estimated and eliminated the DC offset in later process so that the received signal can be substantially free from distortion.

With regard claim 5, Lindquist et al. discloses all of the subject matter as described above except for specifically teaching wherein the weighting of the exponential function comprises the determined Dc offset.

However, the admitted prior art of the instant application teach a

DC cancellation circuit (DCN) designed as high pass filter characteristics
to the DC component in which <u>capacitors can be rapidly</u>

<u>charged/discharged during the DCN period</u> by electronic switching circuits,

Art Unit: 2634

to obtain a subtraction of the DC offset in each I or Q channel (page 1 lines 29-31).

It is desirable to have the weighting of the exponential function comprises the determined DC offset in order to reasonably estimated and eliminated the DC offset in later process so that the received signal can be substantially free from distortion. Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include the method as taught by the admitted prior art of the instant application, in which, have the weighting of the exponential function comprises the determined DC offset, into Lindquists' DC cancellation process in order to reasonably estimated and eliminated the DC offset in later process so that the received signal can be substantially free from distortion.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 6, 7, and 13 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ted M. Wang whose telephone number is 571-272-3053. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/923,242 Page 9

Art Unit: 2634

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on 571-272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ted M Wang Examiner Art Unit 2634

Ted M. Wang

CHIEH M. FAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER